Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/618,313	BARBAZETTE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
·	Sunray Chang	2121
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>open</u>		
(1) Sunray Chang.	(3)	
(2) Scott Sanford.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 21 July 2006	Time: <u>12pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:	•	
Claims discussed: Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
the attorneyhas been informed this application would be abandoned if no further amendment filed before 7/20/2006		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
Simmay Chang 7/1/2006 (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)